S-

SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH

Writ Petition No. 4187 of 2006

PETITIONER:

Satyanarayan Paikra,
S/o Sadaram Paikra,
Aged about 35 years,
Occupation: Service,
Presently posted as Assistant Veterinary Officer,
Veterinary Hospital,
Lakhanpur, District: Surguja,
R/o Lakhanpur,
District: Surguja, Chhattisgarh.

4228/06
P.R. No.
Presented by Shri S. Sharma
dated 23.8.06

- Versus -

RESPONDENTS:

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Department of Veterinary,
Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2. Collector, Surguja,
Chhattisgarh.

3. District Veterinary Officer,
Lakhanpur, District: Surguja,
Chhattisgarh.

4. Bhuneshwar Das,
Assistant Veterinary Officer,
Chalgali, Pratappur,
District: Surguja, Chhattisgarh.

RECEIVED COPY
31/8/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT/WRITS, DIRECTIONS ETC., IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI AND/OR ANY OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OF LIKE NATURE

The petitioner, above named, most respectfully begs to submit as under: -

27

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 4157 **सन् 2006**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

11.8.2006:-

Shri V.C. Ottalwar, counsel for the petitioner.

Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents No.1 to 3, on advance copy.

Heard.

By this petition, the petitioner has challenged his transfer order Annexure-P/6 dated 7-7-2006 passed by the Collector, Surguja.

The main grievance of the petitioner is that on 5-5-2006 when he was at his residence, some persons came claiming themselves to be persons of M.L.A. and asked him to accompany them to Udaipur for giving treatment to their animal. When he replied that the said area does not fall within his jurisdiction, therefore, he cannot go there to treat their animal, on which they threatened him. He made a complaint to his immediate officer i.e. District Veterinary Officer as well as the Collector, thereafter, the petitioner has been transferred vide impugned order. So far nothing has been communicated to the petitioner in respect of his complaint.

In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 Collector and in turn, he be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 3 has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this petition to respondent No.2 within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.2 shall decide the representation within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of the representation, after considering the grievances raised by the

P.T.O.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	petitioner in the representation, in accordance with law. He is further directed to enquire into the complaint and to ascertain as to whether the impugned order is outcome of the threat given by those persons on 5-5-2006. If so, then the Collector to decide the representation considering those points and pass appropriate order. In the meantime, the effect and operation of the impugned order in respect of the petitioner shall remain stayed, till the representation of the petitioner is decided. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.3229/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C.Bhadoo** **Judge**	
Barve		

P.T.O.